Association between multifidus intramyocellular lipids and pain-related disorders, lumbar spine dysfunction, gait disturbance in patients with chronic low back pain: a cross-sectional study using the Japanese Orthopaedic Association Back Pain Evaluation Questionnaire
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Abstract

Background and aims: Fat degeneration in the multifidus muscle (Mm) was more common than in other trunk muscles. We hypothesised that the lipid contents of the Mm and patient-reported quality of life (QOL) are related. However, there are no papers examining the association between fatty degeneration of the Mm and patient-reported QOL using magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS). This cross-sectional study aimed to investigate the association between patient-reported QOL, evaluated with the Japanese Orthopaedic Association Back Pain Evaluation Questionnaire (JOABPEQ), and the intramyocellular lipid (IMCL) and extramyocellular lipid (EMCL) contents of the Mm and psoas major (PM) by MRS in patients with chronic low back pain (CLBP).

Materials and Methods: Forty-eight patients (mean age, 64.2 ± 13.2 years; age range, 41–79 years) with nonspecific CLBP underwent MRS for the quantification of IMCL and EMCL of the right Mm and PM in a volume of interest at L4/L5. All subjects underwent MRS and completed the JOABPEQ in the same day. We performed multiple linear regression analysis of the IMCL and EMCL contents of the Mm and PM with the five domains of the JOABPEQ adjusted for age, sex, and body mass index.

Results: The IMCL content of the Mm was correlated with pain-related disorders (standardised partial regression coefficient (β)=−0.59, p<0.01), lumbar spine dysfunction (β=−0.64, p<0.01), and social life dysfunction (β=−0.31, p<0.01) which showed moderate negative correlation, but not with psychological disorders. The EMCL content of the Mm was not correlated with the five domains of the JOABPEQ. The IMCL and EMCL contents of the PM were not correlated with the five domains of the JOABPEQ.

Conclusions: IMCL content of the Mm was significantly correlated with the pain-related disorders, lumbar spine dysfunction, gait disturbance, and social life dysfunction domain scores of the JOABPEQ. Future studies using magnetic resonance spectroscopy of the Mm in patients with CLBP may help optimize exercise strategies using IMCL as an index to enhance patient-reported quality of life.

Introduction

Low back pain (LBP) is a serious chronic condition with a worldwide prevalence 1, 2, and the estimation is that approximately 23.0% of LBP will develop chronic low back pain (CLBP) 3. The 2010 Global Burden of Disease Study revealed that the LBP disability-adjusted life years increased from 58.2 million in 1990 to 83.0 million in 2010 4, although the majority of LBP patients experience non-
specific symptoms that cannot be attributed to a serious disease what is called non-specific LBP 5. Despite this, the pathophysiology of LBP is poorly understood and there is inadequate correlation between investigative findings and clinical symptoms. LBP can be caused by various problems in any part of the interconnected network of spinal muscles, bones, discs, nerves, or tendons of the lumbar spine. Some mechanisms of LBP have been elucidated in previous studies 2, 6-19. Despite this, the pathophysiology of LBP is poorly understood and there is inadequate correlation between investigative findings and clinical symptoms. 

Trunk muscles, such as the multifidus muscle (Mm) and psoas major (PM), are important in trunk function. 20, 21. These muscles may be potential causes of LBP 22 and may be associated with LBP-induced disability. CLBP induces myoelectric activity and muscle remodelling, such as muscle atrophy, fatty degeneration, and altered fibre type. 23-28. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) 29, ultrasonography 30, and computed tomography 31 are frequently used to assess fatty degeneration and muscle atrophy 32. At the early stage of paraspinal muscle degeneration, although fat replacement occurs in the paraspinal muscles, there is usually no significant change in the cross sectional area of the paraspinal muscles at this stage because of the space-occupying effect of fat 33. Therefore, fatty degeneration can sensitively reflect degeneration of the trunk muscles, and reduces the proportion of force-producing contractile tissue, thus affecting the function of the muscles in the lumbar spine 34.

Several investigators have recently reported quantitative evaluations of trunk muscle fatty degeneration using magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) 7-9, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19. MRS analysis of muscle physiology has facilitated detailed analyses of muscular fat masses through the identification of intramyocellular lipids (IMCLs) and extramyocellular lipids (EMCLs), thereby enabling a detailed assessment of fatty degeneration 34, 35. IMCLs are undetectable when using conventional MRI as they appear as lipid droplets in skeletal muscle cells in close contact with skeletal mitochondria 36, 37. Moreover, IMCLs have been associated with aerobic metabolism 38, 39 and decrease after exercise 39. By contrast, EMCLs represent subcutaneous and extracellular fat in cells between muscle fibers 35, 40, 41.

Patient-reported quality of life (QOL) is an important component of CLBP; however, few studies have examined the association between patient-reported QOL and trunk muscle degeneration, as assessed using fat degeneration 20, 42. Teichtahl et al. 43 found that fat degeneration in the Mm was more common than in other trunk muscles. We hypothesised that the lipid contents of the Mm and patient-reported QOL are related. However, there are no papers examining the association between fatty degeneration of the Mm and patient-reported QOL using MRS. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the association between patient-reported QOL, evaluated using the Japanese Orthopaedic Association Back Pain Evaluation Questionnaire (JOABPEQ), and the IMCL and EMCL content of the Mm and PM, evaluated with MRS, in patients with chronic CLBP.

**Methods**

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, after approval by the Ethics Committee of our institution (approval number: 23-131). All participants received written and verbal explanations of the study and provided informed consent before participation.

**Participants**

We included patients aged 41–79 years with nonspecific CLBP, characterized by pain, stiffness, and discomfort in the lower back from the twelfth rib to the lumbar or lumbosacral area where the source of the pain was difficult to identify. Furthermore, the symptoms of all participants persisted for more than 3 months despite conservative treatments such as medication and therapeutic exercise. The exclusion criteria included neoplasm, infection, fracture, or a history of lumbar vertebral surgery. We also excluded patients with neurological symptoms of the lower leg or obvious instability, which can be the source of LBP and improve with surgical treatment. All participants completed the JOABPEQ 44, which includes 25 questions corresponding to five domains: pain-related disorders, lumbar spine dysfunction, gait disturbance, social life dysfunction, and psychological disorders. The score of each domain was calculated, using the official guidelines, and ranged from 0 to 100 points in proportional to the patient’s clinical condition, with a higher score indicating a better health status. The test–retest reliability was confirmed by calculating the kappa and weighted kappa coefficients for each item. Both kappa and weighted kappa were more than 0.50 in all items, except in one item with 0.48. The lower 95% CI exceeded 0.4 in all items, except in two items with 0.39. This implied that the test–retest reliability of JOABPEQ was acceptable as a measurement of outcome 45. Superficial validity was checked in terms of the completion rate for filling out the questionnaire. Regarding the distribution of responses for each item, it was judged that none of the questions was too difficult to answer because the highest rate of nonresponse was 1.8%. With regard to deflection of an answer, the highest rate (78.3%) was concentrated on “yes” responses to question 1–14, although this was judged not to be inappropriate. Therefore, the distribution was not skewed, which would indicate “floor and ceiling” effects 46.

**MRI protocol and analysis of MRS data**

This study used an MRI protocol and analysis methods for MRS data that have been described previously 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19. Briefly, we used a Signa HDx 1.5-T MRI System (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA) with a spine coil to obtain T2-weighted sagittal and transverse MRI images.
From these images, the proton MRS volume of interest (VOI) was positioned at the center of the Mm and PM at L4/L5 on the right side (Fig. 1). The single-voxel point-resolved spectroscopy sequence was conducted with the following parameters: repetition time, 2000 ms; echo time, 35 ms; average number of signals, 64; VOI size, 15×15×15 mm (3.4 mL); and acquisition time, 164 s. Spectral data were used to measure the IMCL and EMCL contents using the LCModel software (Stephen Provencher, Inc., Oakville, ON, Canada). Data were transferred from the scanners to a Linux workstation. Metabolites were quantified using eddy current correction and water scaling. IMCL (1.3 ppm) and EMCL (1.5 ppm) methylene proton data were used for the statistical analyses. The assessments of IMCLs and EMCLs were scaled to an unsuppressed water peak (4.7 ppm) by the automatic function of the software and are expressed in institutional units. These data are displayed graphically, with the chemical shift on the x-axis and peak intensity on the y-axis, to enable metabolic identification (Fig. 2). All subjects underwent MRS and completed the JOABPEQ in the same day after a washout period of at least 4 weeks.

### Statistical analysis

Possible correlations of the IMCL and EMCL contents of the Mm and PM with the five domains of the JOABPEQ were analysed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient test. Significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 27.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) by a MD researcher (I.O.). All numerical data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation.

### Results

Forty-eight patients (21 men and 27 women; mean age ± standard error of the mean, 64.2 ± 13.2 years; age range, 41–79 years) met the diagnostic criteria. The mean body mass index was 23.6 ± 2.1 kg/m\(^2\), and the mean visual analogue scale score was 54.3 ± 22.9 mm. The mean JOABPEQ scores were 43.7 ± 32.6, 53.9 ± 29.8, 52.6 ± 31.9, 46.0 ± 22.9, and 42.4 ± 17.3 for pain-related disorders, lumbar spine dysfunction, gait disturbance, social life dysfunction, and psychological disorders, respectively.

The mean IMCL content of the Mm was 10.7 ± 11.1 (×10\(^2\)) mmol/L, and the mean EMCL content of the Mm was 5.5 ± 3.5 (×10\(^3\)) mmol/L. The mean IMCL content of the PM was 2.9 ± 3.5 (×10\(^2\)) mmol/L, and the mean EMCL content of the PM was 2.7 ± 2.8 (×10\(^3\)) mmol/L.

As shown in Table 1, the IMCL content of the Mm was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Regression coefficient</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Standardized partial regression coefficient</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMCL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>Pain-related disorders</td>
<td>-29.11</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lumbar spine dysfunction</td>
<td>-38.31</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gait disturbance</td>
<td>-20.42</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social life dysfunction</td>
<td>-16.20</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychological disorders</td>
<td>-9.06</td>
<td>30.32</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMCL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>Pain-related disorders</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>33.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lumbar spine dysfunction</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gait disturbance</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>30.23</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social life dysfunction</td>
<td>-11.20</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychological disorders</td>
<td>-10.10</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
correlated with pain-related disorders (standardised partial regression coefficient ($\beta$)=−0.59, $p<0.01$), lumbar spine dysfunction ($\beta$=−0.64, $p<0.01$), gait disturbance ($\beta$=−0.42, $p<0.01$), and social life dysfunction ($\beta$=−0.31, $p<0.01$) which showed moderate negative correlation, but not with psychological disorders. The EMCL content of the Mm was not correlated with the five domains of the JOABPEQ. As shown in Table 2, the IMCL and EMCL contents of the PM were not correlated with the five domains of the JOABPEQ.

**Discussion**

In this cross-sectional study, we analyzed the IMCL and EMCL content of the Mm and PM using MRS and identified their correlations with JOABPEQ scores. Several previous studies have reported an association between LBP and fat degeneration in trunk muscles using various indicators and imaging techniques and revealed an increase in fat degeneration $^{47,50}$. These studies used the fat fraction of the multipoint Dixon technique to assess degeneration, whereas studies using MRS comprehensively have evaluated degeneration with respect to overall fat content. In this study, we distinguished adipose tissue from IMCL and EMCL as fat content in the Mm and PM.

We found that the IMCL of the Mm was significantly correlated with the pain-related disorders, lumbar spine dysfunction, gait disturbance, and domains of the JOABPEQ scores. In a previous study, MRS analyses of the Mm in patients with CLBP revealed significantly higher IMCL levels than those of controls $^7$. The IMCL content reflects increased free fatty acid in the blood, free fatty acid uptake into skeletal muscle, and decreased free fatty acid oxidation capacity $^{36,50}$. Therefore, IMCL may reflect the inflammatory state of skeletal muscles $^{55}$. An association between the IMCL content of the Mm and nociceptive pain (i.e., pain related to inflammation) has also previously been demonstrated $^{15}$. We speculated that the lack of association with psychological disorders may have involved other psychological factors, such as catastrophizing.

No associations were found between the IMCL and EMCL contents of the PM and the JOABPEQ scores. In a previous report $^{12}$, no association was identified between the IMCL and EMCL contents of the PM and LBP intensity. Arbanas et al. $^{51}$ also reported that no statistically significant differences of the fat infiltration in PM between controls and patients with CLBP were found. Among the trunk muscles, the PM has been described as having a clinically relevant function $^{22,31}$. Future longitudinal studies using MRS and including more participants are warranted to analyse the relationship between PM parameters and CLBP disability.

Disc degeneration $^{52}$ and collapsed vertebral bodies $^{53}$ irreversibly develop with advancing age; however, an increase in the IMCL of the Mm may improve if conservative treatments, such as therapeutic exercise, are initiated. According to papers, modifications to the MF-IMCL could simplify management and treatment plans for arthrogenic muscle inhibition (AMI)-MF-induced CLBP $^{47,54}$. Dual-echo and multi-echo MR imaging, which have demonstrated high agreement with MRS ($R=0.87-0.92$; $P<0.001$), could also be used to determine this $^{47}$. As a result, MRS or other pertinent effective diagnostic procedures may be able to act as a proxy for MF-AMI-induced CLBP if IMCLs are present, and more especially if their level or percentage of existence varies. Moreover, IMCL levels or percentage-of-presence changes may function as a quantitative indicator or marker of the efficacy of any rehabilitation program or method if IMCLs can be used as a predictive marker for CLBP. The findings of this study indicate that the IMCL of the Mm is associated with higher disability levels in individuals with CLBP, and future research could enhance exercise strategies using the IMCL content as an index to enhance patient-reported QOL among patients with CLBP.

This study had some limitations. First, there was no control group. Second, this study was a cross-sectional study, and a longitudinal study design would have been beneficial.

**Conclusion**

This study investigated the relationship between LBP disability and the IMCL and EMCL content of the Mm and PM using MRS in patients with CLBP. The results indicated that the IMCL content of the Mm was significantly negatively correlated with the pain-related disorders,
lumbar spine dysfunction gait disturbance, and social life dysfunction domains of the JOABPEQ scores. This finding suggests that MRS of the Mm in patients with CLBP may be beneficial in future research to enhance exercise strategies using the IMCL level as an index to enhance patient-reported QOL.
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